

County of Huron - 2012 results Municipal Performance Measurements program

The Province of Ontario requires all municipalities to provide performance measure information to the ratepayers. Below are the performance measurements the County of Huron is required to publish with explanatory notes where necessary. The information provided below complies with Section 83.1 of the Municipal Act.

Local Government Services

| OPERATING COSTS - Municipal Administration | |
|---|--|
| 2012 | |
| % | |
| 1.5% | |
| EXPLANATION | |
| <i>The cost of local government central administration as a percentage of the total municipal operating cost.</i> | |
| OBJECTIVE | |
| <i>Efficient administration supporting local services</i> | |
| Notes | |
| The County provides centralized corporate support for services such as payroll, financial management, information technology, human resources, etc. | |

Transportation

| WINTER ROAD MAINTENANCE COSTS | |
|--|--|
| 2012 | |
| \$ PER LANE KM | |
| \$1,099.00 | |
| EXPLANATION | |
| <i>The operating costs per lane kilometre associated with winter road maintenance (e.g. snow plowing, salting, sanding, snow removal).</i> | |
| OBJECTIVE | |
| <i>Efficient winter road maintenance services.</i> | |
| Notes | |
| Factors that can influence these results include the severity of the winter, the duration of the winter, etc. | |
| WINTER EVENT RESPONSES | |
| 2012 | |
| % | |
| 100% | |
| EXPLANATION | |
| <i>The percentage of winter control activities in response to a weather condition (snow fall, sleet, freezing rain, etc) that met or exceeded municipal standards.</i> | |
| OBJECTIVE | |
| <i>To provide an appropriate winter storm event response to ensure that any inconvenience and disruption in transportation caused by the storm is minimized.</i> | |
| Notes | |

| OPERATING COSTS FOR PAVED ROADS | |
|--|-------------------|
| | 2012 |
| | \$4,754.99 |
| <i>Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre.</i> | |
| OBJECTIVE | |
| <i>Efficient maintenance of paved roads.</i> | |
| Notes | |
| OPERATING COSTS FOR BRIDGES & CULVERTS | |
| | 2012 |
| | \$34.53 |
| EXPLANATION | |
| <i>Operating costs for bridges & culverts per sq meter of surface.</i> | |
| OBJECTIVE | |
| <i>Efficient maintenance of bridges & culverts.</i> | |
| Notes | |

Transportation

| CONDITION OF PAVED ROADS | |
|--|---------------|
| | 2012 |
| | 80.00% |
| EXPLANATION | |
| <i>Percentage of paved lane kilometres where the condition is rated as good to very good.</i> | |
| OBJECTIVE | |
| <i>Provide a paved lane system that has a pavement condition that meets municipal standards.</i> | |
| Notes | |
| CONDITION OF BRIDGES & CULVERTS | |
| | 2012 |
| | 64.00% |
| EXPLANATION | |
| <i>Percentage of bridges & culverts where the condition is rated as good to very good.</i> | |
| OBJECTIVE | |
| <i>Provide a transportation system where the condition meets municipal standards.</i> | |
| Notes | |

Land Use Planning

| PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND (RELATIVE TO 2000) | |
|--|---------------|
| | 2012 |
| | % |
| | 99.60% |
| EXPLANATION | |
| <i>Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses relative to the base year of 2000.</i> | |
| OBJECTIVE | |
| <i>Preserve agricultural land.</i> | |
| Notes | |

| PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND IN REPORTING YEAR | |
|---|----------------|
| | 2012 |
| | % |
| | 100.00% |
| EXPLANATION | |
| <i>Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during 2006.</i> | |
| OBJECTIVE | |
| <i>Preserve agricultural land.</i> | |
| Notes | |
| CHANGE IN HECTARES AGRICULTURAL LAND (DURING REPORTING YEAR) | |
| | 2012 |
| | |
| | 12.00 |
| EXPLANATION | |
| <i>Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses during the year.</i> | |
| OBJECTIVE | |
| <i>Preserve agricultural land.</i> | |
| Notes | |
| CHANGE IN AGRICULTURAL LAND(since 2000) | |
| | 2012 |
| | 1015 |
| EXPLANATION | |
| <i>Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses since January 1, 2000.</i> | |
| OBJECTIVE | |
| <i>Preserve agricultural land.</i> | |
| Notes | |

Solid Waste

| COSTS OF HAZARDOUS WASTE DISPOSAL | |
|--|---------------|
| | 2012 |
| | \$3.40 |
| EXPLANATION | |
| <i>Operating costs to dispose of Hazardous Waste per household</i> | |
| OBJECTIVE | |
| <i>Efficient maintenance of hazardous waste disposal.</i> | |
| Notes | |
| <i>The land fill sites within the County are the responsibility of the lower tiers</i> | |

Recreation

| OPEN SPACES | |
|--|---------------|
| | 2012 |
| | 10.592 |
| EXPLANATION | |
| <i>Hectares of open space per 1,000 persons</i> | |
| OBJECTIVE | |
| <i>How effective is the County at providing areas for recreational activity.</i> | |
| Notes | |
| <i>This represents the County Forests. Parks and recreation are under the lower tiers' responsibility.</i> | |

Library Services

| OPERATING COSTS FOR LIBRARY SERVICES | |
|---|---------|
| | 2012 |
| | \$50.13 |
| EXPLANATION | |
| <i>Operating costs for Library services per person.</i> | |
| OBJECTIVE | |
| <i>Measure the efficiency of the library services</i> | |
| Notes | |
| <i>Operating costs for Library services per person.</i> | |
| OPERATING COSTS FOR LIBRARY SERVICES | |
| | 2012 |
| | \$2.13 |
| EXPLANATION | |
| <i>Operating costs for Library services per each use.</i> | |
| OBJECTIVE | |
| <i>Measure the efficiency of the library services</i> | |
| Notes | |
| LIBRARY USE PER USER | |
| | 2012 |
| | 23.664 |
| EXPLANATION | |
| <i>How many times in the year did people use the library services</i> | |
| OBJECTIVE | |
| <i>How effective is the Library in reaching the County's population</i> | |
| Notes | |