

County of Huron – 2006 Results Municipal Performance Measurement Program

The Province of Ontario requires all municipalities to provide performance measure information to the ratepayers. Below are the performance measurements the County of Huron is required to publish, with explanatory notes where necessary. This information complies with Section 83.1 of the Municipal Act.

Local Government Services

OPERATING COSTS – Municipal Administration
2006
%
1.70%
EXPLANATION <i>The cost of local government central administration as a percentage of the total municipal operating cost.</i>
OBJECTIVE <i>Efficient administration supporting local services</i>
Notes The County provides centralized corporate support for services such as payroll, financial management, information technology, human resources, etc.

Water

BOIL WATER ADVISORIES
2006
DAYS
3.3
EXPLANATION <i>The weighted number of days when a boil water advisory, issued by the medical Officer of health, was in effect for part or all of a municipal water supply.</i>
OBJECTIVE <i>Water is safe and meets local needs.</i>
Notes The number of days represents the total number of boil water orders issued throughout the county on municipally treated water.

Transportation

WINTER ROAD MAINTENANCE COSTS
2006
\$ PER LANE KM
\$1,556.14
EXPLANATION <i>The operating costs per lane kilometre associated with winter road maintenance (e.g. snow plowing, salting, sanding, snow removal).</i>
OBJECTIVE <i>Efficient winter road maintenance services.</i>
Notes Factors that can influence these results include the severity of the winter, the duration of the winter, etc.
WINTER EVENT RESPONSES
2006
%
100%
EXPLANATION <i>The percentage of winter control activities in response to a weather condition (snow fall, sleet, freezing rain, etc) that met or exceeded municipal standards.</i>
OBJECTIVE <i>To provide an appropriate winter storm event response to ensure that any inconvenience and disruption in transportation caused by the storm is minimized.</i>
Notes

Transportation

4.1 OPERATING COSTS FOR PAVED ROADS	
	2006
	\$390.77
EXPLANATION	<i>Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre.</i>
OBJECTIVE	<i>Efficient maintenance of paved roads.</i>
Notes	
4.2 OPERATING COSTS FOR UNPAVED ROADS	
	2006
	\$0.00
EXPLANATION	<i>Operating costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre.</i>
OBJECTIVE	<i>Efficient maintenance of unpaved roads.</i>
Notes	
4.4 CONDITIONS OF PAVED ROADS	
	2006
	100%
EXPLANATION	<i>Percentage of paved lane kilometres where the condition is rated as good to very good.</i>
OBJECTIVE	<i>Provide a paved lane system that has a pavement condition that meets municipal standards.</i>
Notes	

Land Use Planning

10.1 GROWTH AND SETTLEMENT PATTERN	
	2006
	61.90%
EXPLANATION	<i>Percentage of new lots, blocks and/or units with final approval which are located within settlement areas.</i>
OBJECTIVE	<i>New lot creation is occurring in settlement areas.</i>
Notes	
10.2 PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND IN REPORTING YEAR	
	2006
	81.90%
EXPLANATION.	<i>Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during 2006.</i>
OBJECTIVE	<i>Preserve agricultural land.</i>
Notes	
10.3 PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND (RELATIVE TO 2000)	
	2006
	102.80%
EXPLANATION	<i>Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses relative to the base year of 2000.</i>
OBJECTIVE	<i>Preserve agricultural land.</i>
Notes	

Land Use Planning

10.4 CHANGE IN HECTARES AGRICULTURAL LAND (DURING REPORTING YEAR)	
	2006
	(6.66)
EXPLANATION	
<i>Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses during 2006.</i>	
OBJECTIVE	
<i>Preserve agricultural land.</i>	
Notes	
10.5 CHANGE IN AGRICULTURAL LAND (since 2000)	
	2006
	53,710 hec
EXPLANATION	
<i>Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses since January 1, 2000.</i>	
OBJECTIVE	
<i>Preserve agricultural land.</i>	
Notes	

Solid Waste

COSTS OF HAZARDOUS WASTE DISPOSAL	
	2006
	\$2.03
EXPLANATION	
<i>Operating costs to dispose of Hazardous Waste per household</i>	
OBJECTIVE	
<i>Efficient maintenance of hazardous waste disposal.</i>	
Notes	
<i>The land fill sites within the County are the responsibility of the lower tiers</i>	

Recreation

OPEN SPACES	
	2006
	10.592
EXPLANATION	
<i>Hectares of open space per 1,000 persons</i>	
OBJECTIVE	
<i>How effective is the County at providing areas for recreational activity.</i>	
Notes	
<i>This represents the County Forests. Parks and recreation are under the lower tiers' responsibility.</i>	

Library Services

11.1 OPERATING COSTS FOR LIBRARY SERVICES	
	2006
	\$43.04
EXPLANATION	
<i>Operating costs for Library services per person.</i>	
OBJECTIVE	
<i>Measure the efficiency of the library services</i>	
Notes	

Library Services

11.2 OPERATING COSTS FOR LIBRARY SERVICES	
	2006
	\$7.90
EXPLANATION	
<i>Operating costs for Library services per each use.</i>	
OBJECTIVE	
<i>Measure the efficiency of the library services</i>	
Notes	
11.3 LIBRARY USE PER USER	
	2006
	5,450
EXPLANATION	
<i>How many times in the year did people use the library services</i>	
OBJECTIVE	
<i>How effective is the Library in reaching the County's population</i>	
Notes	